

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,274	10/09/2000	Eric Sean Parham	066303.0169	4448
7590 10/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles S. Fish, Esq.			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
Baker Botts L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2662	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/685,274	PARHAM ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dmitry Levitan	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. $igttimes$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>0</u>	<u>19/02/04</u> .				
0. Other:	12)					
/Hassan Supervisory Pa		SAMINTON				
Patent and Trademark Office TECHNOLOGY (
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- -				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

112 rejection

The article of Erskine at al, submitted with the filed IDS, as an evidence to support applicant's arguments is not relevant for the following two reasons:

- a) The article bears a date (assumed to be publication date of 03/21/02) and does not establish the state of the art at the time the invention was made (the application was filed on 10/09/00).
 b)Aplicant's new IDS article discusses BLES service requirements in a very general way and does not disclose BLES signalling protocol.

Examiner believes that the cited references meet all the claims limitations and the rejection is proper.